MEETING MINUTES

DATE: June 13, 2013

TIME: 6:30 to 8:30 PM

LOCATION: Township of Derry Municipal Building
600 Clearwater Road
Hershey, Pennsylvania 17033

SUBJECT: Public Plans Display and Public Officials Meeting
Park Boulevard Relocation Project

ATTENDEES: See Attached Sheets

The purpose of the meeting was to 1) provide members of the community and public officials with general information related to the design and construction of the subject project, 2) gather input, and 3) provide the public and public officials an opportunity to express comments early in the project development.

The following forms of notification were used to provide notification to the public of this meeting:

1. Dauphin County – Notice of the meeting was posted:
   a. on the County’s website in the County Events section and again in the Media Newsroom section, and
   b. through a media press release to news agencies.
2. Township of Derry – Notice of the meeting was posted:
   a. in the newspaper,
   b. on the Township website,
   c. through a media press release to news agencies, and
   d. at the Township Building for local residents whom may not have access to the above mentioned media.

Personal invitations for the meeting were also provided to local public officials.

During this session, members of the community and public officials were able to review current plans for the project with representatives of the design engineer (HRG).

Twenty-seven (27) individuals signed the attendance sheet at the meeting (see Attachment #1). Each attendee was provided with the following:

- An instruction sheet instructing them on how to participate in the plans display and provide input (see Attachment #2)
- A project information sheet (see Attachment #3)
- A Frequently Asked Questions sheet (see Attachment #4)
- A comment card to fill out and leave at the door as they exited the building (see Attachment #5)

Seven (7) stations were set up in the room with large scale displays (see attached exhibits) that illustrated the project overview, project schedule, environmental constraints map, environmental resources, bridge and roadway improvement plan, bridge and roadway profile, traffic circulation plan, and a traffic
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simulation video. The stations were manned by the following team members who provided project overviews and answered questions:

Matthew Lena, P.E., HRG
Christopher Hartz, HRG
Josh Hanes, HRG
Ryan Hostetter, P.E., HRG
Chris Bauer, P.E., HRG

Public Comments:

Attendees generally expressed verbal support for the project. Many of the comments were related to bike/pedestrian mobility, traffic flow, and project funding. Attendees were encouraged to submit their comments through the provided comment cards, and twenty-seven (27) comment cards were collected (see Attachment #5). The following list generally summarizes the comments and subsequent response:

**Bike Accessibility**

**Question:** What options have been evaluated to extend bike access north to SR 0039, and south to the existing system?

**Answer:** The bike facilities currently in the project scope were developed consistent with the long term connectivity goals of the Township. The proposed shared use path along Park Blvd enhances the connection of the Hershey attractions to the downtown and will also make strides for future potential connections to the S.R. 39 corridor. The designed sidewalk as part of the Ridge Road underpass provides key opportunities for an additional connection as well. This connection may include a potential road designation from the existing trail system near Memorial Park on Cocoa Avenue, west on Elm Avenue, and north on Ridge Avenue to the signaled intersection with Chocolate Avenue.

**Question:** Does the project provide access for bikes south on Ridge Road?

**Answer:** Bicyclists have two options to proceed southbound: Option one is to dismount and use the sidewalk that is proposed within the existing Ridge Road underpass. Option two is to utilize the shared use path that is proposed from Chocolate World Drive to the Intermodal Station, then turn right onto Park Avenue and enter the traffic flow utilizing the rules of the road.

**Question:** Will the plans include sharrows, signage, and pavement markings to facilitate bicyclists?

**Answer:** The project as proposed will be accordance with the design principles set forth in the AASHTO Guide for Development of Bicycle Facilities guidelines. As this project enters into Final Design pavement markings and signage as applicable to bicycle facilities will be added to the project where appropriate.

**Question:** What design guidelines are you using for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities?

**Answer:** The pedestrian shared use paths will be designed in accordance with Chapter 5 of the AASHTO Guide for Development of Bicycle Facilities, 2012 Edition.
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Question: Will trash bins be added to the project?
Answer: Both the intermodal bus facility and Hershey Entertainment Complex already have trash bins at each location. Additional trash cans are not proposed between these two locations.

Question: What is bike access to Hershey Entertainment Center?
Answer: Bicyclists will utilize the shared use path proposed along the south side of the relocated Park Boulevard, cross Park Boulevard at the Chocolate World Drive / Park Boulevard intersection, and follow the shared use path proposed along the east side of the intersection to the Hershey Entertainment Complex and Chocolate World.

**Pedestrian Accessibility**

Question: Will vacated Park Boulevard between Chocolate World and Ridge Road be made available for direct access by employees and season pass residents?
Answer: The feasibility of keeping vacated Park Boulevard open for public use is currently being evaluated by Hershey Entertainment and Resorts.

Question: If public access along vacated Park Boulevard is permitted, can a drop-off be added near the Ridge Road / Park Boulevard intersection?
Answer: Yes. Arrangements for a drop-off will be made.

**Traffic Flow**

Question: Will the square intersection will become more congested with Ridge Road one way?
Answer: What is traffic demand on Park Boulevard?
What is the current and projected ADT on Park Boulevard?

Answer: The proposed circulation changes to Ridge have been modeled in conjunction with the final SR 0743 / SR 0422 intersection improvements (which is under construction) and evaluated for both current and future traffic demand. Based on this evaluation the SR 0743 / SR 0422 intersection will provide an acceptable level of service. Due to the ongoing construction the final capacity and efficiency of the intersection is not fully realized. Once construction is complete and final traffic lane configurations have been implemented, the level of service will be improved. The current ADT for Park Boulevard is approximately 7,100 vehicles per day, and projected to be approximately 8,300 vehicles per day in year 2033.

Question: Why was a signal request signed by Township for a road that does not exist?
Answer: A signal permit application was signed by the Township for the new Chocolate World Drive / Park Boulevard intersection. This is an administrative requirement for PennDOT to start the review process for intersection design studies that may result in signalization.

Question: The plan does not allow for left turn at intersection of Park Boulevard and SR 743 (Park Avenue). How does this address the travel demands to outlets?
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Answer: The demand for this movement is moderate and is also unsafe due to insufficient sight distance for passenger vehicles. The final signing plans will add wayfinding signage to guide tourists exiting Chocolate World to go right and proceed out toward SR 0039, which is a more direct and preferred access to the Outlets.

Question: The Southwest Village/residential access from SR 0039 appears to be longer, correct?
Answer: For westbound Park Boulevard travelers, no. For travelers using eastbound Park Boulevard, yes. Eastbound travelers will need to travel an additional 0.4 miles to get to the Chocolate Ave./Ridge Road intersection.

Question: Will there be a traffic light at Park Boulevard/Park Ave. to handle event traffic?
Answer: The traffic volumes projected at this intersection by the traffic model do not meet the signal warrant threshold required by PennDOT for installation of a new traffic signal.

Question: Will there be direct access to HersheyPark for northbound traffic on Park Boulevard or for patrons of Chocolate World turning right onto Park Boulevard without having to recirculate to SR 39?
Answer: Yes.

**Project Funding**

Question: Who is paying for the project and what are the funding sources?
Answer: The following table includes the funding information for the project. It notes funding source by project phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHASE</th>
<th>COST</th>
<th>FEDERAL</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>LOCAL</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prelim. Design</td>
<td>$ 650,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$ 650,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Dauphin County Liquid Fuels Local Aid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Design</td>
<td>$ 650,000</td>
<td>$ 650,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$ 9,000,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$ 9,000,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Landowner Contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility</td>
<td>$ 800,000</td>
<td>$ 800,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constr.</td>
<td>$ 8,700,000</td>
<td>$ 8,700,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>-%</td>
<td>Federal Funds pending Harrisburg Area Transportation Study (HATS) action anticipated September 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 19,800,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question: Who initiated this project and does it favor tourism traffic vs. local traffic?
Answer: During a 2006 inspection the bridge was found to be in poor condition and considered a favorable candidate for replacement. Considering the increased traffic utilizing Park Boulevard, the roadway’s substandard alignment and the poor condition and age of bridge DRT-1, the Township commissioned an alternatives analysis study that addressed the project purpose and need to provide a safer travel corridor for the growing number of vehicular and pedestrian traffic utilizing Park Boulevard. This was established by the need to:
- Address existing Park Boulevard substandard horizontal and vertical curves.
- Improve access management for 1) Emergency services, and 2) Hersheypark / Chocolate World complex
- Improve bicycle/pedestrian facilities between downtown Hershey and Hersheypark / Chocolate World complex.
- Provide multimodal and entertainment facility connectivity.

The March 2007 study evaluated various alignments with respect to environmental constraints, feasibility, cost, and other factors. The resulting preferred alignment was presented at a Township Board of Supervisors meeting. The Township concurred with the studies recommended alignment and requested the Harrisburg Area Transportation Study (HATS) to add it to the regional Long Range Plan.

Question: Why build just the bridge?
Answer: The alternatives study commissioned by the Township investigated replacing the bridge in the existing location. However, this alternative did not meet the purpose and need of the study which was to provide a safer roadway system with horizontal and vertical geometry that meet current engineering standards.

The existing roadway was constructed as a low volume local road in a bygone era. Over time the function of this road has changed to serve as a community arterial with an average daily traffic over 7,000 vehicles per day. Therefore, the geometry needs to be upgraded to meet the current needs of the traveling public and the function of the road.

Miscellaneous

Question: Will there be other opportunities to garner public input for this project?
Answer: Yes. The plans are available for review on the Township website and comments can always be sent to:
Jim Negley
Township of Derry Municipal Building
600 Clearwater Road
Hershey, Pennsylvania 17033

Question: What is long-term plan for the development of 31 acres?
Answer: There are currently no plans for development within the 31 acres.
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Question: What is the status of the Spring Creek Bridge project? This is a difficult bridge for pedestrians to use.

Answer: The Spring Creek Bridge is a PennDOT owned bridge. PennDOT is currently in the preliminary engineering stages of a project for this bridge. The Spring Creek Bridge project is separate from the Park Boulevard Relocation project.

Question: What is the status of other Township transportation projects such as the intersections of Sand Hill/Fishburn, Wood Road/Middletown Road?

Answer: The Township is currently updating the Township Comprehensive Plan. There is a section in the plan dedicated to Transportation. The Transportation section outlines and identifies future projects and modes of transportation that are needed to safely and efficiently move people and goods throughout the Township. Residents are encouraged to participate in this process.

Question: What is the environmental assessment and mitigations to Spring Creek?

Answer: As part of the environmental clearance process Spring Creek was evaluated for project impacts. The Spring Creek floodplain will be impacted by the project during construction of the new bridge construction. This impact will be further evaluated through a hydrologic and hydraulic study, and potential mitigation will be addressed through a Joint Permit Application in coordination with Department of Environmental Protection.

A Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) research was performed and revealed no Threatened & Endangered Species within the project area of effect.

Public Officials Comments:

The following public officials were at the public officials meeting:

John Foley, Supervisor, Township of Derry
Sandy Ballard, Supervisor, Township of Derry
Garth Warner, Lieutenant, Township of Derry Police
Scott Stein, 1st Asst. Chief / EMT, Hershey Volunteer Fire Department
Skip Memmi, Executive Director, Dauphin County Office of Community & Economic Development

Public officials provided the following comments:

1. The public should be made aware that the existing Park Boulevard right-of-way to be vacated will not be “given” to the Hershey entities. Rather, as underlying property owners these entities will reclaim their full property rights.

2. A breakdown of the project costs should be provided to the public.

3. Pedestrians/bicyclists will likely utilize existing Park Boulevard as a means to travel from the Ridge Road / Park Boulevard intersection to the Hershey Entertainment complex. Therefore, a crosswalk should be placed across Park Boulevard and access provided by the future property owners along this stretch of road.

4. The current project scope currently does not provide sharrows, bike lane, or bike path west of the proposed signal.

5. Pedestrian lighting should be provided along the proposed sidewalk.
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6. Dual left turn lanes should be provided on 1) Park Boulevard, between Chocolate World Drive and the Emergency & Controlled Public Access roadway, and 2) on the proposed Emergency & Controlled Public Access roadway.
7. The sidewalk should be maintained by the adjacent property owners, not the Township.

We believe these minutes accurately reflect the items discussed at the subject meeting. If there are any revisions or corrections to these minutes, please contact the undersigned within ten (10) days of receipt of these minutes. If no revisions or corrections are requested, the minutes will stand approved as submitted.

Respectfully submitted,

Herbert, Rowland & Grubic, Inc.

Matthew J. Lena, P.E.
Project Manager

Attachments: Attendance Sheets
Meeting Format
Project Information Sheet
Frequently Asked Questions
Comment Cards
Meeting Exhibits
ATTACHMENT #1

ATTENDANCE SHEETS
## PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
### SIGN-IN SHEET
### PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT
### JUNE 13, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August McMann</td>
<td>1301 Shipman Ch Rd</td>
<td>540-3320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie McAndrew</td>
<td>115 Oak Lane</td>
<td>533-1199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Newman</td>
<td>531 Elm Ave</td>
<td>698-8460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juliet Waldron</td>
<td>1241 Coolidge Ave</td>
<td>533-4402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Krebs</td>
<td>121 Lawn Rd, Lockport</td>
<td>532-0105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Zentmeyer</td>
<td>58 Cedar Ave.</td>
<td>533-8421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deb Steele</td>
<td>500 Park Avenue</td>
<td>608-5320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Rotter</td>
<td>2027 Wayford</td>
<td>312-1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Furlan</td>
<td>1903 Christian Dr. Harleys</td>
<td>717-566-1281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Guelegquel</td>
<td>29 W. Governor Rd.</td>
<td>717-533-2364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Huth</td>
<td>The Sun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claude Swantzbaugh</td>
<td>203 Cocoa Ave.</td>
<td>717-534-1975</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
### SIGN-IN SHEET
### PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT
### JUNE 13, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Sowles</td>
<td>650 Cocoa Ave</td>
<td>433-5776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Smith</td>
<td>112 Celac Ave</td>
<td>533-8523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty Ed Roth</td>
<td>357 William Dr.</td>
<td>534-2775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Schirato</td>
<td>102 W. Concanon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>979-6009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan Anderson</td>
<td>812 Cocoa Ave</td>
<td>533-0683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Wein</td>
<td>1986 Church Rd</td>
<td>534-1234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archie Foster</td>
<td>130 Naper Ave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dee Murphy</td>
<td>720 Cocoa Ave</td>
<td>533-1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom &amp; Georgia Richards</td>
<td>306 Cocoa Ave</td>
<td>533-9703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Herrman</td>
<td>101 Merwin Home</td>
<td>5669278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karyl Claroni</td>
<td>505 Hilltop Rd</td>
<td>717-884-2162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ty Ely</td>
<td>164 Cocoa Ave</td>
<td>533-9797</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
### SIGN-IN SHEET

### PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT

**JUNE 13, 2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tony Nazary</td>
<td>1189 Hudson Ave PA 15666-4134</td>
<td>724-513-4134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Gamble</td>
<td>39 Hockessville Rd</td>
<td>717-975-0504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Stough</td>
<td>750 Creekside Dr.</td>
<td>609-9100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT #2

MEETING FORMAT
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT

DERRY TOWNSHIP
DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

June 13, 2013

Welcome to the Public Plans Display for the
Park Boulevard Relocation Project

MEETING FORMAT

This is an informational public plans display intended to present the proposed design to the public in order to provide the opportunity to collect comments about the project.

The format of this meeting is an informal process which will not have a formal presentation. Instead, you should use the following process in order to help us obtain your input:

1. Sign the attendance sheet which then becomes a matter of record for this project.

2. Collect a project information sheet and comment card.

3. Take your time and visit the display boards to review the project plans.

4. Representatives who are familiar with the project are available to answer any questions you may have about the proposed project improvements.

5. Please complete a comment card and deposit it in the designated location as you leave the room. The comment cards become a matter of record for this project.

Thank you for your assistance and input on this important project.
PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT

DERRY TOWNSHIP
DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

June 13, 2013

PROJECT INFORMATION SHEET
This proposed project involves relocating a portion of Park Boulevard (T-591), construction of connecting access drives, a new bridge over Spring Creek, and other surface improvements. The project is located between the Hershey Entertainment Complex main entrance on West Derry Road and Park Avenue (SR 0743).

Specific improvements include:

- Relocation of Park Boulevard south of its current alignment, beginning at the Hershey Entertainment Complex main entrance (West Derry Road) / Park Boulevard intersection and ending at Park Boulevard / Ridge Road intersection.

- Widening and overlay of Park Boulevard from Ridge Road to Park Avenue.

- Construction of a new bridge crossing over Spring Creek approximately 2,200 feet downstream of the existing Township Bridge DRT-1.

- Construction of an access drive with signalized intersection to connect Hershey Chocolate World with relocated Park Boulevard.

- A ramp to provide a connection between northbound Park Boulevard and Hershey Entertainment Complex main entrance (West Derry Road).

- A new shared use sidewalk to provide connectivity between the intermodal facility and the Hershey Entertainment Complex.

CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE
The project construction is scheduled to begin fall of 2014 and be completed by the fall of 2015.

CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATE
Preliminary construction cost estimate: Approximately $9 million.
ATTACHMENT #4

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS
FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT

DERRY TOWNSHIP
DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

June 13, 2013

Q: What is the purpose of this project?
A: Provide a safer travel corridor for the growing number of vehicular and pedestrian traffic utilizing Park Boulevard.

Q: What is the need for this project?
A: 
- Address existing Park Boulevard substandard horizontal and vertical curves.
- Address structurally deficient Township Bridge DRT-1.
- Improve access management for
  1. Emergency services, and
  2. Hersheypark / Chocolate World complex
- Improve bicycle/pedestrian facilities between downtown Hershey and Hersheypark / Chocolate World complex.
- Provide multimodal and entertainment facility connectivity.
- Facilitate future land development.

Q: Who is sponsoring this project?
A: Derry Township is the local project sponsor.

Q. What is the funding for this project?
A. Local funding in the form of a Dauphin County Liquid Fuels Grant is being used for preliminary design. State and/or Federal Funding will be used for final design, utilities, right-of-way, and construction.

Q: What will this project cost to construct?
A: Approximately $9 million for construction.

Q. How was the proposed roadway alignment selected?
A. The Township commissioned an alternatives analysis study that addressed the project purpose and need. The study evaluated various alignments with respect
to environmental constraints, feasibility, cost, and other factors. The resulting preferred alignment is presented at this meeting.

Q: Can the design presented at this meeting change?

A: The project is currently in the preliminary engineering phase. The plans displayed at this meeting show the major elements (i.e., proposed Park Boulevard alignment, intersection configurations, bridge location and major geometry) to be advanced in final design and then constructed. Budgetary constraints, regulatory constraints, and public comment that are consistent with the project’s purpose and need could impact the final plans and project scope.

Q: When will this project be built?

A: As of now, the tentative construction schedule is from the fall of 2014 to the fall of 2015.

Q: How many properties will be impacted?

A: Currently, there are six property impacts anticipated to be impacted by this project.

Q: What are the plans for the existing road and bridge?

A: The existing right-of-way will be vacated by the township. The land, road, and bridge will become owned and controlled by the original underlying property owners.

Q: Will the project affect any historical resources?

A: No.

Q: Will the project affect any other resources?

A: Yes. There will be minor affects to floodplains, agricultural resources, and parks and recreation facility. There may be potential affects to noise receptors, other surface waters, and hazardous or residual waste sites.

Q: How will traffic be maintained during construction?

A: No detours are planned and traffic will be maintained during construction as a large majority of the project is being constructed outside of the existing roadway. However, lane shifts and temporary lane closures normally encountered in construction zones should be expected for a short period during the transition of traffic to the new road.

Q: Is this the only opportunity I get to voice my opinion?

A: No. Although this meeting will be the only venue to which the public will be invited, the Township’s engineer, Herbert, Rowland & Grubic, Inc. (HRG), can be contacted as noted below at any time throughout the design process.

Matthew J. Lena, P.E.
Herbert, Rowland & Grubic, Inc.
369 East Park Drive
Harrisburg, PA 17111
Phone: 717-564-1121
Email: hrg@hrg-inc.com
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COMMENT CARDS
Make a stream
Bike/Ped at
Chocolate World Dr.
& Park and go south
or lane (existing)
and continue along
stream.then around
Giant Center

Tourist would like
Hershey Park
Concession
Make cross walk @ Chocolate World Drive & Park Blvd. make cement Flaconry Drive (in old Parkview Golf course) into Bike Path North Cross single lane of exit go left through Park's lot
**PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY**
**PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT**
**JUNE 13, 2013**

**COMMENT CARD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Pat Krebs, Lebanon Valley Bicycle Coalition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>121 Lehigh Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>832-0105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Remarks:       | What guidelines have you used to determine 10' width path?  
                 | Bicyclists need to use the road(s). Will there be sharrows, BMUFL signage, sharrows, other? |

**ADD TO Guide for Development of Bicycle Facilities**

5.1 design of shared workpaths

5.2.1 width and clearance

Are you using this?
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT
JUNE 13, 2013
COMMENT CARD

Name: Carol Giselaquist
Address: 2410 Governor Rd
Hershey, PA
Telephone: 717-533-2364
Remarks: I'm glad to see the proposed shared use path. For it:
1) Could rubbish bins/recycling bins be provided en
route?
2) Could it be connected to the existing path along
Cucka (by Memorial Field, Plaza, Library) or other place en route?
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PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT
JUNE 13, 2013
COMMENT CARD

Name: Dan Anderson
Address: 812 Cocoa Ave
          Hershey
Telephone: 717-533-0054
Remarks: 1. Residents and employees living in the village walk/ride to the entertainment complex for work and recreation. Re-routing the walking path would make the walk longer, farther (especially to Hershey Park or Giant Center), during the summer months children and families need a shorter route, particularly late in the evening.

2. Ridge Road becoming one-way onto Park Boulevard will create additional traffic backlogs from Rt 39 travelers coming into the Village.

3. Routing all Park Blvd traffic onto Park Avenue (Rt 743) will create additional congestion onto the already congested area at Park Avenue and Chocolate Ave and throughout the Village area.
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JUNE 13, 2013
COMMENT CARD

Name: Mr.
Address: 
Telephone: 
Remarks: No access for Bikes to go South on Ridge from HP. Drive
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT
JUNE 13, 2013
COMMENT CARD

Name: 
Address: 

Telephone: 
Remarks: Employees/Season Pass

Holders need to walk through parking lot to employee entrance.
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT
JUNE 13, 2013
COMMENT CARD

Name: Juliet Waldron
Address: 1241 Cowpens

Telephone: 533-4402
Remarks: The one way on Ridge + the new Park Avenue makes the area unuseable by cyclist. Commuters going north to south becomes impossible - unless we demount - try getting out of your car practically while you commute.

Fix 2 way traffic on New Park Ave. and Ridge Road - to allow this "cyclist friendly." Otherwise more barriers to pedestrian cyclists will be erected. Bike showers in an area which connects with nothing means nothing.
Subject: RE: June 13 at 6:30 PM - public meeting on Park Blvd realignment
From: Gamble, Richard (Richard.Gamble@va.gov)
To: sandyballard@verizon.net;
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2013 9:26 AM

Sandy, one point of interest...If this meeting is to collect impute/ share information...It is obvious this is just a way of placating the citizens, why would you put in a request for a traffic light well in advance for a road that does not exist. Please address this question during your remarks...thanks again.
Sandy,

I have a conflict and can not attend the meeting. My comment is that I hope there is a bike/walking path along the new road. There is now a walking path along the present road. It is one of the nicest paths in Hershey with the park on one side and the historic locations of the Starlight Ballroom, dairy and sunken gardens on the other side. Also it is a link between the downtown and Chocolate World (as in Smart Growth). The existence of a path should not be taken away from the residents.

Do you know if there is a path planned already?

Tony Panettieri
533-6510
Will there be provision for bicyclists and pedestrians on this new road? Will there be sharrows/and/or dedicated bike lanes to assist riders who are commuting across town or attempting to visit Hershey Park?

Will there be signage, such as the “Share the Road” signs provided by PDOT? Bike parking/racks?

Traveling West toward the Giant Center at this time, it is very dangerous for cyclists to attempt to enter the Park with a left turn which takes them into and across 2 lanes of traffic.
PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT
JUNE 13, 2013
COMMENT CARD

Name: Pat Scats
Address: 
Telephone: 
Remarks: Are you using Guide to Development to Bicycle Facilities? Should be
From: Jack Bishop <bishophsy@mac.com>
To: Sandy Ballard <sandyballard@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 11:08 AM
Subject: Re: June 13 at 6:30 PM - public meeting on Park Blvd realignment

Good report Sandy. We'll be on vacation and can't attend. Only question I have is who is paying the $20 million? Thanks.
OUR STATE ROADWAY IN MUCH NEEDED REPAIR.

Safety - do not see this project improving safety.
Limited traffic presently - Hershey requested wants to upgrade to increase Chocolate World development.

New road will increase risk to downtown road ways.
PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT
JUNE 13, 2013

COMMENT CARD

Name: Marie McAndrews
Address: 115 Oak Lane
        Hershey, PA
Telephone: 533-1199
Remarks: It appears that it is going to make residents go out of the way for trips up 39, with return difficult. Whom is this meant to benefit? People going to Hershey Entertainment? No funds are coming from them to support it. Meanwhile, the bridge on Park Ave over spring Creek sustains heavy truck traffic and seems inadequate to the task. Pedestrians walking that way are endangered by this narrow bridge.
Name: Ä. S. F. A. 
Address: 1602 Greenview Drive
              Howards Grove, WI 17036
Telephone: 1-715-886-1281
Remarks: It appears the overall project is for the benefit of Heiser Enterprises Corporation using public taxpayer dollars. A red light east on the proposed Park Blvd. does not provide the ability to turn right on Ridge Rd.

As left on Route 743. This will cause persons traveling east on the proposed Park Blvd. to make a right turn onto 743, south travel into town and make a right turn somewhere to head back north on 743 towards the outlets. This practice will increase congestion in town & lend itself to encourage dangerous driving practices. There needs to be an opportunity for those traveling east on the proposed Park Blvd. to gain access to travel north on Rt 743 towards the outlets.

I suggest HRG an Derry Twp. place the project on their web page & allow for electronic public comment.
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT
JUNE 13, 2013

COMMENT CARD

Name: McAndrews
Address: 115 Oak Lane, Hershey
Telephone: 533-1199
Remarks: Why not just rebuild the old concrete bridge!
Where does that $20M come from, and how does that $20M spent benefit We The People who pay for it? It appears to mostly (or only) benefit They The Hershey Entities.

Is it true we have spent $750,000 on engineering fees so far on this, as Jon Crist stated in a recent public Derry Supervisors' meeting? That seems high. How was that amount arrived at / on what basis / using what process? What is the scope of those services, and how much more is anticipated? How does this price compare to similar services on the competitive free and opened marketplace?

Steve Todd, PE, LEED AP  
Executive Director/Principal, Todd Engineering, LLC  
629 Bullfrog Valley Road  
Hummelstown, PA 17036-9743  
home 717.534.0695  
cell 717.571.9634  
steventodd@hotmail.com  
http://www.linkedin.com/in/stevenwtodd
Dear Sandy—

Is the township footing this bill? This would be ridiculous to expect township residents to take on ANY more taxes in an era when we are talking about MAJOR cuts for the schools. Such a move to pay for this road realignment for the benefit of Hershey Park would be irresponsible and a poor demonstration of stewardship. We must hold to our responsibilities to provide an excellent education for the children in our township.

Robin

Robin Taylor Wilson, PhD
Associate Professor
Department of Public Health Sciences, Epidemiology Division
Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine
Penn State Hershey Cancer Institute
500 University Drive, Mail Code CH69
Hershey, PA 17033
Phone: 717-531-7178
E-mail: rwilson@psu.edu

Web Page: http://profiles.psu.edu/profile/ProfileDetails.aspx?From=SU&Person=1291
Another thought....if Derry has to request the Fed/State funds AND put money into the project....Twp should require HERCO to agree to changing amusement tax to a percentage. It could be a number that keeps the amount the same for now.

Jack
I am planning on attending the meeting tonight, but in the event of limited questioning, I would like to submit to you concerns/questions that I feel need to be address and answered honestly. The issue about “mum” on development, requires a more realistic and honest response to what is their long term plan of expansion/development within the 31 acres it will incorporate after the “barrier” is relocated? Hershey is very well organized in it planning and why can they not be upfront and share their projected development plan. Do we really want to give up open space at a cost of $20,000,000 to replace a “foot” bridge that could be reconstructed at a lesser cost to the tax payers. The last issue to address is, why should the tax payers pay for improvements or changes in a road that will only benefit a private entity, that will primarily only services their business interest. I would assume you will be permitted to present opening comments and would assume and appreciate if these questions are submitted from response. Thanks.
I think HERCO should pay for the relocation of the road, because it will benefit by its removal and the park expansion. Our tax dollars pay for extra police force to cover all of the events that HERCO has and profits from. I am grateful for these events because I think it brings revenue to our town, I think that HERCO benefits plenty as well. Since they will get to have (a lot) more space for the park with the removal of the road why not have them pay for it? HERCO has shut down that road behind the parking lot on Chocolate Avenue and the whole parking lot and bus station was put in there which is lovely, but definitely benefits Devon, Houlihan’s and The Chocolate Story Museum, did HERCO pay for that parking lot and bus station? I don’t know, I do know they benefit from it. HERCO mainly controls all of the roads around the perimeter of park, and has the benefit of all the police directing people around the roads around the park and parking lots(except Park Avenue that goes along the Parkside and Red Robin), the police working the traffic light on Hershey park drive for all of the hockey games and concerts, we pay for all of that with our tax dollars. All of those roads really benefit the park. I really can’t tell from the map exactly where the new road will go but I am guessing that the road that is now currently shut off will be part of it. I really can’t tell from the map, I couldn’t make it larger.
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT
JUNE 13, 2013

COMMENT CARD

Name: Anne Neustein
Address: 331 Elm Avenue
         Hershey, PA 17033
Telephone: 717-698-8460
Remarks: Why not just replace the defective bridge.
         This costs too high for taxpayers.
Hi Sandy -

We have long standing plans for Thursday night and can not attend the meeting but it there is any way for me to register my disapproval of this plan I would like to do so. If I am reading the map correctly (perhaps the map is conveniently small and not labeled with street names), I am opposed to this rerouting of Park Boulevard. It would be bad enough if Hershey were making the change to expand the Park and paying the full freight, but to do it at tax payers' expense is criminal. Any expansion of the park only benefits the corporate interests but more importantly it negatively impacts greatly all who live in Derry Township.

Some years ago "we" built a "Light Rail Station" in that same area. Where is the light rail? In the mean time, those of us who liked to use the back road have been forced to use the more congested Chocolate Ave. I am all in favor of developing mass transit but here we have a station but no trains for people! If we had a viable light rail system perhaps more workers would use it and more visitors could leave their cars at home. Let's think green for a change.

We have many road projects that have been put on hold that would advantage the citizens of the township like rerouting the beginning of Sand Hill Road. At that intersection (where Sand Hill Road meets Fishburn Road) traffic backs up any time the Med Center changes shifts or during Park season, anytime from 9 AM on until park closing time. Residents who live in the area are unconvincing every day by excessive Med Center traffic and even more greatly when the park is open. Anything done to expand the park is surely going to impact on all residents in a negative way.

How about installing a traffic light at Wood Road and Middletown Road. There are plenty of ways to spend tax payer money for tax payers and not for corporate advantage.

Anne Searer
2125 Carey Way
Hummelstown, PA
533-9636
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Karyl Claven</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>505 Hilltop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>717-884-2162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remarks:</td>
<td>Place car drop off pull over at corner of proposed Park Blvd and Old Park Blvd. Middle School and HS kids going to HS can be dropped off there. Since you are removing the current drop off at the lighthouse.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
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Name:  Sandra Hennigan
Address:  505 Hilltop Rd
          Hummelstown  17036
Telephone:  717-566-9272
Remarks:  
  1. Too long roundabout way to get from Rt 39 (S Hanover) to St. Jean of Arc
  Concerned also @ making right onto Park Ave
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT
JUNE 13, 2013

COMMENT CARD

Name: Georgia Richards
Address: 3016 Cocoa Ave
         Hershey PA
Telephone: 533-9903
Remarks: Will there be a traffic light at Park Blvd & Park Ave?
         With event traffic the traffic will back up on Park Blvd,
         unable to get onto Park Ave like it used to be. We are
         going backwards. Residents of the High Rise on Ridge
         will not be able to directly access the apart. if they drive
         from Rt 39 around the Park. They will have to go all the
         way into the center of town & down Choc to access the
         building. That inconveniences the residents once again
         so that tourists can access the Park by walking from
         the downtown. Have you tried going through town in the
         summer or from 3-6 PM on weekdays?


**PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY**

**PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT**

**JUNE 13, 2013**

**COMMENT CARD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Betty Ruth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Address:    | 356 William Dr.  
             | Hershey, PA 17033 |
| Telephone:  | 717-334-2775 |
| Remarks:    | Has consideration been given to the need for tourists who leave Chocolate World turning right toward the Giant Center hoping to go directly to the Park to have direct access going in that direction? Currently these tourists hoping to go to the park from (over) Chocolate World have to go all the way out to Hershey Park Drive, reposition themselves (usually an illegal 'U' turn) to get going in the right (correct) direction to enter the toll booths for the park.

*Why not an access road from the new Park Blvd. directly into the park-toll booths?*

Thank you.
PARK BOULEVARD RELOCATION PROJECT
JUNE 13, 2013

COMMENT CARD

Name: Donald K. Hensman
Address: 101 Merwin (off Rte 39)
Telephone: 717-566-9211

Remarks:
A well designed concept that will bring needed improvement to traffic flow. Ripening


PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
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COMMENT CARD

Name: Sue Stough
Address: 750 Creekside Dr.

Telephone: 717-609-9100
Remarks: Not convinced, all southbound traffic routing through square is worse.
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
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Name: T. L. EBY
Address: 104 CEDAR AVENUE

Telephone: 533-9792
Remarks: I am a property rights advocate. If the
park wishes to expand,God bless them. I remember
Hotel Road immediately west of the stadium.
The plan appears to be well thought out. Please do
not inconvenience in-town residents to accommodate
interest. If the north-south access to town (or so I
is later determined to be inadequate. Are there provisions to
adjust traffic flow also, other than N. Hackersville?
Will there be access to the Giant Center from Park? There is
currently no left turn access to Giant Center parking.
Name: Anne Newman
Address: 531 Elm Avenue
Hershey
Telephone: 717-698-8466
Remarks: For traffic coming south, the no-right-turn-on-Ridge
Lane and no-left-turn-on-Park-Ave-will-cause
additional congestion on Chocolate & the town square
as traffic heads it错过 the wrong route to the Outlet
mall. Great north.
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
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Name:      Lisa Schirato
Address:   102 N. Caracas Ave., Hershey
Telephone: 717-979-6002
Remarks:   Concerned about increased/high speed traffic on Ridge.
           Desire to see a rail streetcar system.
           Please consider this future vision before you start construction.
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
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Name: Dee Myers
Address: 700 Colac Ave
      Hershey, PA 17033
Telephone: 717-533-1999
Remarks:

I believe the planning for this is strongly favoring tourism traffic flow over local traffic flow. I would like to see your traffic studies and number projections for the downtown streets. The realignment and expansion of Park Ave. was phase I of Park Blvd. expansion. Traffic through downtown Hershey and the Village is already making our town a very difficult town to walk, bike, travel and enjoy.
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
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COMMENT CARD

Name: Skip Memorial
Address: 

Telephone: 
Remarks: Need left turn into complex and left angle in Bold Line
It has been reported that southbound traffic coming from Route 39 and Hershey Park Drive will no longer go under the railroad underpass to Ridge Road, but redirected into the center of town to the new Route 743 bridge area leading to the traffic light intersection at Cocoa and Chocolate. Has a traffic study been performed to determine if this excess capacity of traffic, especially during tourist season, can be absorbed and what were those findings. Also, will there be a dedicated turn left lane for traffic that wants to go north onto Rte 743 without impeding traffic that wants to go south towards the Cocoa & Chocolate intersection? I anticipate this will become a huge bottleneck as we attempt to converge these two traffic flows in this study congested traffic flow pattern.

John BN Dunn
712 Linden Road
Hershey, PA 17033
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
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Name: CAROL GISSELQUIST
Address: 29 W. GOVERNOR RD
          HERSHEY
Telephone: 717-533-2364
Remarks: I AM CONCERNED ABOUT IMPACT TO SPRING CREEK, ITS WATERLEVEL, BANKS, WILDLIFE IN AND NEXT TO IT, PROTECTION OF YOUNG OR NESTS DURING CONSTRUCTION AND ASK THAT THEY ALL BE PROTECTED TO THE GREATEST EXTENT POSSIBLE.
Name: Juliet Waldron
Address: 1241 Coolidge
Telephone: 533-4402
Remarks: Bridge by the Zoo is in poor shape and not walkable. It's dangerous to walk from "The Hershey Story" to the castle too.
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
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Name: Deb Steele
Address: 500 Park Avenue
         Hershey
Telephone: 717-608-5320
Remarks: I have heard about the relocation for some time, but never really knew exactly what was involved. I understand more from the presentation. Hopefully Park Ave will be a project for future consideration.
PUBLIC PLANS DISPLAY
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COMMENT CARD

Name: Rick Zentheyer
Address: 58 Cedar Ave
Telephone: 533-8421
Remarks: Looks great! Good work
### ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POTENTIAL IMPACTS</th>
<th>ABSENT/PRESENT</th>
<th>IMPACTS</th>
<th>REQUIRES MITIGATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCENIC STREAMS</td>
<td>ABSENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAVIGABLE WATERWAYS</td>
<td>ABSENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUNDWATER RESOURCES</td>
<td>ABSENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WETLANDS</td>
<td>ABSENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COASTAL ZONE</td>
<td>ABSENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOODPLAINS</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER SURFACE WATERS</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOLOGIC RESOURCES</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARKS &amp; RECREATION FACILITY</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOREST AND GAMELANDS</td>
<td>ABSENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILDERNESS, NATURAL, &amp; WILD AREAS</td>
<td>ABSENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATIONAL NATURAL LANDMARKS</td>
<td>ABSENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAZARDOUS OR RESIDUAL WASTE SITES</td>
<td>POTENTIALLY PRESENT</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILDLIFE</td>
<td>ABSENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CULTURAL RESOURCES</td>
<td>ABSENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECTION 4(f) RESOURCES</td>
<td>ABSENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR QUALITY</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOISE RECEPTORS</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>